ΓRENDS TASHKENT STATE UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS PARALLEL CONFE NEW2AN, ICFI AND ICDSIS" SANDICDS

# MEHNAT IQTISODIYOTI **VA INSON KAPITALI**

ILMIY ELEKTRON JURNAL MAXSUS SON

**19-20 OCTOBER** 

**'NEW2AN, ICFNDS** 

AND ICDSIS"

- Macroeconomic Stabilit

- Social Welfare
- Human Capital
- Decent Employment
- World Economy
- Gender Equality
- Industry 4.0
- Sustainable Agricultura



# MEHNAT IQTISODIYOTI VA INSON KAPITALI

https://laboreconomics.uz



# MEHNAT IQTISODIYOTI VA INSON KAPITALI 2023-yil Maxsus son

# ЭКОНОМИКА ТРУДА И ЧЕЛОВЕЧЕСКИЙ КАПИТАЛ

### LABOR ECONOMICS AND HUMAN CAPITAL

## laboreconomics.uz

"Mehnat iqtisodiyoti va inson kapitali" ilmiy elektron jurnali Oʻzbekiston Respublikasi Oliy ta'lim, fan va innovatsiyalar vazirligi huzuridagi Oliy attestatsiya komissiyasi (OAK) rayosatining 2023-yil 3-iyundagi 328/3-sonli qarori bilan roʻyxatga olingan. Muassis: "Mehnat iqtisodiyoti va inson kapitali" ilmiy maktabi.

#### Tahririyat manzili:

100066, Toshkent shahri, Islom Karimov koʻchasi, 49-uy.

Elektron manzil: <a href="mailto:ilmiymaktab@gmail.com">ilmiymaktab@gmail.com</a>
Jurnal web-sayti: <a href="mailto:www.laboreconomics.uz">www.laboreconomics.uz</a>
Bogʻlanish uchun telefonlar:
+998 (99) 881-86-98.

**TOSHKENT-2023** 



#### EDITORIAL BOARD | TAHRIRIYAT KENGASHI

#### Tahririyat Kengashi raisi: (Chairman of the Editorial Board)

Abdurahmanov Qalandar Xodjayevich, OʻzFA akademigi

#### Tahririyat Kengashi a'zolari: (Members of the Editorial Board)

Toshqulov Abduqodir Hamidovich, i.f.d., prof.

Yusupov Axmadbek Tadjiyevich, i.f.d., prof.

Sharipov Kongratboy Avezimbetovich, t.f.d., prof

Raifkov Kudratilla Mirsagatovich, i.f.d., prof

Xalmuradov Rustam Ibragimovich, i.f.d., prof

Umurzakov Baxodir Xamidovich, i.f.d., prof.

Nazarov Sharofiddin Xakimovich, i.f.d., prof.

Jumayev Nodir Xasiyatovich, i.f.d, prof.

Abduraxmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna, i.f.d., prof.

Eshov Mansur Poʻlatovich, i.f.d., prof.

Zokirova Nodira Kalandarovna, i.f.d.. prof.

Xudoyberdiyev Zayniddin Yavkachevich, i.f.d., prof.

Muxiddinov Erkin Madorbekovich, i.f.f.d., (PhD)

Xolmuxammedov Muhsinjon Murodullayev, i.f.n., dots.

Amirov Lochinbek Fayzullayevich, i.f.f.d., (PhD), dots.

G'oyipnazarov Sanjar Baxodirovich, i.f.f.d., (PhD), dots.

Shakarov Zafar Gafarovich, i.f.f.d., (PhD)

## Jamoatchilik Kengashi a'zolari:

(Community Council members)

Bred Bodenxauzen (AQSh) Jon Ankor (Buyuk Britaniya) Odegov Yuriy Gennadevich (Rossiya Federasiyasi) Xeynz Miller (AQSh)

Masato Xivatari (Yaponiya) Gerxard Feldmayer (Germaniya) Eko Shri Margianti (Indoneziya) Ahmed Mohamed Aziz Ismoil (Misr) Rohana Ngah (Malayziya) Sharifah Zanniyerah (Malayziiya) Teguh Dartanto (Indoneziya) Nur Azlinna (Saudiya Arabistoni) Muhammed Xoliq (Pokiston)

Alisher Dedaxonov (Toshkent)

Sung Dong Ki (Koreya Respublikasi)



Mas'ul muxarrir (Editor-in-Chief): G'oyipnazarov Sanjar Baxodirovich **Veb-administrator (Web admin):** Musayev Xurshid Sharifjonovich











# **MUNDARIJA (CONTENTS)**

#### **MEHNAT BOZORI VA MEHNAT MUNOSABATLARI**

Q.X. Abdurahmonov S.B. Gʻoyipnazarov	Сунъий интеллектни жорий этиш натижасида меҳнат бозоридаги ўзгаришлар	6–12
R.I. Nurimbetov A.M. Ismailov	Oʻzbekiston iqtisodiyoti tarmoqlari rivojlanishi va aholi bandligini manfaatdorlik indeksi asosida baholash	
N.T. Shayusupova S.S. Amirdjanova	Прогнозирование макроэкономических показателей роста экономики и занятости населения республики	
I.A. Bakiyeva	Тошкент вилоятида ишсизларни замонавий касб-ҳунарга ўқитишни самарали ташкил этиш йўллари	
S.I. Sotnikova	Наемный труд: институциональные эффекты неравновесной экономики .	
A.S. Usmanov M.A. Bahriddinova	Qashqadaryo viloyatida bandlikning tarmoq tuzilishidagi oʻzgarishlar va uning aholi turmush farovonligiga ta'siri	
X.F. Toʻxtayeva	Туристик хизматлар бозорида бандликни тартибга солиш ва бошқариш буйича илғор хорижий тажрибалар	
B.Z. Ganiyev	Oʻzbekiston hududlarida bandlikning iqtisodiy oʻsishga nisbatan elastikligi tahlili	57-61
	INSON RESURSLARINI BOSHQARISH	
S. Sotnikova N. Sotnikov	Ecology of the employee's career based on the concept of time management .	62–70
A.N. Turayev B.B. Suvonov	Направления развития анализа затрат труда в хозяйствующих субъектах	71–76
B.B.Suvonov	Зарубежный опыт анализа показателей затрат труда в хозяйствующих субъектах	
Z.M. Xasanova	Enhancing economic education and human resources management: a study of innovative approaches in Uzbekistan's higher education institutions	
R.R. Oqmullayev	Инсон ресурсларини бошқариш — олий таълим муассасаларининг глобал рақобатбардошликка эришиш омили	
B.B. Mardonov	Xizmat koʻrsatish sohasida kadrlar salohiyatini baholash	103-108
M.Sh. Xaydarova	Использование искусственного интеллекта в управлении человеческими ресурсами	109-123
	"INSON KAPITALI	
A. Zikriyoyev D. Khojamqulov M. Raimjanova N. Turayev A. Abdullayev	Human capital development in the context of health and safety regulation: policy analysis in construction industry	124-138
A. Zikriyoyev M. Farmonova Ch. Keldiyorova D. Nekboyev O. Murodova	Orientation / induction day as a remedy for human caital investment at higher education	139–150
A.S. Boltayev Y.M. Otaboyev	The impact of health and education expenditure on economic growth in case of Uzbekistan	151-163
O.A. Eshbayev	Strategic integration of emerging technologies in engineering education: a holistic approach to cultivate human capital for the digital economy	164-169







# "Mehnat iqtisodiyoti va inson kapitali" ilmiy-elektron jurnali

A.O. Jumanov R.A. Omirzakov	Innovative environmental education in higher education: fostering sustainable mindsets for a greener future	170-175
I.Sh. Khadjiyeva	School climate quality and education quality: evidence from 15 worst performing nations at PISA 2018	176-187
M.O. Kurolov	Leveraging digital healthcare marketing strategies to enhance social welfare through human capital development	188-192
M. Numanova F. Khakimov	Priorities for the development of national human capital in the economy	193-198
M.X. Xoʻjayeva	Properties of innovative activity in the education system of Uzbekistan $\ldots$	198-203
H.T. Yaxshiyev	Mehmonxona hamda restorani biznesi faoliyati tushunchasi va mohiyati	204-206
X.B. Nasriddinov	Oʻquvchilarning kreativ fikrlashini rivojlantirishda ta'lim metodlaridan foydalanish	207-210
Sh.Y. Sharobiddinov	Investing in human capital: a comparative analysis of democratic and authoritarian regimes	211-220
Z.M. Xasanova	Comparative analysis of innovative education management strategies for economic education and green development: lessons from foreign countries	221-228
S.R. Xolbayeva	Трансформация системы подготовки кадров в целях повышения эффективности функционирования человеческого капитала в экономической системе	229-238
	INSON TARAQQIYOTI	
Sh.U. Joʻrayeva	Socio-economic significance and analysis of the standard of living of the population	239-244
N.M. Khazratkulova	The impact of inter-budgetary relations on regional growth and the standard of living of the population of the regions (on the example of the republic of Uzbekistan)	245-250
	KAMBAGʻALLIKNI QISQARITRISH	
G.Q. Abduraxmonova M.X. Fayziyeva Sh.Q. Xoliyorova	Oʻzbekiston davlat ijtimoiy himoya tizimini mustahkamlashda raqamli rivojlanishning oʻrni	251–261
	GENDER TENGLIK	
G.Q. Abruraxmonova N.U. Khalimjonov	Gender inequality in labour market	262-268
	MUNOSIB MEHNAT	
Sh.X. Raxmatullayeva	Milliy korxonalarda mehnat samaradorligining muhim koʻrsatkichlarini baholash tizimini imkoniyatlari	269-275
Z.U. Usmonov	Koʻzi ojiz shaxslarni ish bilan ta'minlashning obyektiv zarurligi	276-283
	TADBIRKORLIKNI RIVOJLANTIRISH	
L.F. Amirov	Современные тенденции развития аграрного сектора Республики Узбекистан	284-293
I. Khotamov A. Kasimov Y. Najmiddinov G. Yuldashev	The current importance of alternative energy and renewable energy in Uzbekistan	294-317
Z.T. Abdurakhmanova	Factors affecting sustainable agriculture and food production in Uzbekistan .	318-328
J.X. Ishanov	Determination of hydraulically acceptable length of drip irrigation pipe	329-334







#### "Mehnat igtisodiyoti va inson kapitali" ilmiy-elektron jurnali









#### **INVESTING IN HUMAN CAPITAL: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF DEMOCRATIC AND AUTHORITARIAN REGIMES**

#### Sharobiddinov Shohkislombek Yasharbek ugli

Abstract. Human capital is the collective knowledge, skills, and abilities of a population that contribute to its economic and social development. Investing in human capital can enhance productivity, innovation, and well-being of individuals and societies. However, different political regimes may have different approaches and incentives to invest in human capital, depending on their goals, values, and constraints. This article compares and contrasts the human capital policies and outcomes of democratic and authoritarian regimes, using both theoretical and empirical evidence. The article argues that democratic regimes tend to invest more in human capital than authoritarian regimes, because they are more responsive to the demands and preferences of their citizens, more accountable for their performance, and more open to diverse ideas and feedback. However, the article also acknowledges that there are variations and exceptions within each regime type, depending on the level of development, institutional quality, social cohesion, and external influences. The article concludes by suggesting some policy implications and directions for future research on this topic.

Key words. human capital, political regimes, democracy, authoritarianism, policy, development, comparison, education, health, innovation, governance, institutions.

#### INSON KAPITALIGA SARMOYA QILISH: DEMOKRATIK VA AVTORITAR REJIMLARNING QIYOSIY **TAHLILI**

#### Sharobiddinov Shohislombek Yasharbek oʻgʻli

**Annotatsiya.** Inson kapitali bu — aholining iqtisodiy va ijtimoiy rivojlanishiga hissa qoʻshadigan umumiy bilim, koʻnikma va koʻnikmalar. Inson kapitaliga sarmoya kiritish mahsuldorlikni, innovatsiyalarni va shaxslar va jamiyatlarning farovonligini oshirishi mumkin. Biroq, turli siyosiy rejimlar oʻzlarining maqsadlari, qadriyatlari va cheklovlariga qarab, inson kapitaliga sarmoya kiritish uchun turli yondashuv va ragʻbatlarga ega boʻlishi mumkin. Ushbu maqola inson kapitali siyosati va demokratik va avtoritar rejimlarning natijalarini nazariy va empirik dalillardan foydalangan holda taqqoslaydi va taqqoslaydi. Maqolada demokratik rejimlar avtoritar rejimlarga qaraganda koʻproq inson kapitaliga sarmoya kiritishga moyilligi, chunki ular oʻz fuqarolarining talab va xohishlariga koʻproq javob berishlari, oʻz faoliyati uchun koʻprog mas'uliyat bilan qarashlari hamda turli gʻoyalar va mulohazalar uchun ochiqligi ta'kidlanadi. Shu bilan birga, maqolada, shuningdek, har bir rejim turida rivojlanish darajasi, institutsional sifat, ijtimoiy birlik va tashqi ta'sirlarga qarab oʻzgarishlar va istisnolar mavjudligi e'tirof etiladi. Maqola ushbu mavzu bo'yicha kelajakdagi tadqiqotlar uchun ba'zi siyosat natijalari va yo'nalishlarini taklif qilish bilan yakunlanadi.

Kalit soʻzlar. inson kapitali, siyosiy rejimlar, demokratiya, avtoritarizm, siyosat, rivojlanish, taqqoslash, ta'lim, sogʻliqni saqlash, innovatsiyalar, boshqaruv, institutlar.

#### Introduction:

Human capital is the collective knowledge, skills, and abilities of a population that contribute to its economic and social development. Investing in human capital can enhance productivity, innovation, and well-being of individuals and societies. However, different political regimes may have different approaches and incentives to invest in human capital, depending on their goals, values, and constraints. How do democratic and authoritarian regimes differ in their human capital policies and outcomes? What are the factors that explain these differences? What are the implications for development and democracy?

These are some of the questions that this article aims to address, using both theoretical and empirical evidence. The article adopts a comparative perspective, examining the similarities and differences between democratic and authoritarian regimes in terms of their human capital investments.



The article defines democracy and authoritarianism based on the criteria of political rights and civil liberties, as measured by the Freedom House index. The article also defines human capital as the stock of human capabilities that can be used for productive purposes, as measured by the Human Capital Index. The article then reviews the existing literature on the relationship between political regimes and human capital, highlighting the main arguments, assumptions, and evidence. The article also presents some original data analysis, using cross-sectional and longitudinal methods, to test some of the hypotheses derived from the literature. The article discusses the findings and their implications for policy and research. The article concludes by summarizing the main points and suggesting some directions for future research on this topic.

#### Methodology:

This article uses both qualitative and quantitative methods to compare and contrast the human capital policies and outcomes of democratic and authoritarian regimes. The article relies on two main sources of data: the Freedom House index and the Human Capital Index. The Freedom House index is a widely used measure of political rights and civil liberties around the world. The index assigns each country a score from 0 to 100, based on 25 indicators that assess the electoral process, political pluralism, government functioning, freedom of expression, associational rights, rule of law, and personal autonomy. The index also categorizes each country as "free", "partly free", or "not free", based on the score. The article uses the Freedom House index data from 2023 to classify the political regimes of 195 countries. The Human Capital Index is a composite measure of the stock of human capabilities that can be used for productive purposes. The index is calculated by the World Bank, based on four indicators: survival, expected years of schooling, harmonized learning outcomes, and adult survival rate. The index ranges from 0 to 1, with higher values indicating higher levels of human capital. The article uses the Human Capital Index data from 2023 to measure the human capital outcomes of 195 countries. The article adopts a comparative perspective, examining the similarities and differences between democratic and authoritarian regimes in terms of their human capital investments.

The article uses panel data analysis, which combines cross-sectional and time-series data, to examine how changes in political regimes affect changes in human capital outcomes, and vice versa. The article uses fixed effects models, which control for unobserved country-specific factors that are constant over time, to estimate the causal effects of political regimes on human capital outcomes. The article also uses dynamic panel models, which account for lagged effects and feedback loops between political regimes and human capital outcomes, to capture the complexity and interdependence of the relationship.

#### Literature review:

Investment in Human Capital: A Theoretical Analysis by Gary S. Becker is a book that was published in 1964, based on his earlier article of the same name that appeared in the Journal of Political Economy in 1962. The book is considered one of the seminal works in the field of human capital theory, which is the study of how people acquire and use skills, knowledge, and abilities that enhance their productivity and earnings.

The book consists of seven chapters, covering topics such as the effects of human capital investment on earnings, the demand and supply of human capital, the allocation of time, the relation between human capital and economic growth, the role of education in human capital formation, and the social and private rates of return to human capital investment. The book also includes four new chapters that were added in the second edition in 1975, addressing recent ideas about human capital, fertility and economic growth, the division of labor, economic considerations within the family, and inequality in earnings.

The main argument of the book is that human capital, like physical capital, is a form of investment that yields returns over time. Becker analyzes how individuals and societies decide how much to invest in human capital, and what factors affect their choices. He also examines the implications of human capital investment for income distribution, economic growth, and social welfare.





Some of the key concepts and findings of the book are:

- Human capital is defined as "the knowledge, information, ideas, skills, and health of individuals".
- The rate of return on human capital investment depends on the marginal productivity of human capital, the interest rate, and the depreciation rate of human capital.
- The optimal level of human capital investment is determined by equating the marginal benefits and marginal costs of investing in human capital.
- The benefits of human capital investment include higher earnings, better health, longer life expectancy, and greater social mobility.
- The costs of human capital investment include direct costs (such as tuition fees, books, and medical expenses), indirect costs (such as foregone earnings and leisure time), and psychic costs (such as stress and fatigue).
- The demand for human capital is influenced by the expected returns on human capital, the availability of credit and subsidies, the preferences and abilities of individuals, and the social norms and institutions.
- The supply of human capital is influenced by the population size and growth, the quality and quantity of education and health services, the technological progress and innovation, and the migration and mobility.
- Human capital investment has positive externalities for society, such as spillover effects, public goods provision, economic growth, and social stability.
- Human capital investment also has distributional consequences for society, such as income inequality, intergenerational mobility, gender gaps, and racial discrimination.

Investing in Human Capital: A Capital Markets Approach to Student Funding by Miguel Palacios Lleras is a book that was published in 2004 by Cambridge University Press. The book proposes a novel way of financing education through human capital contracts, which are agreements between students and investors that stipulate a percentage of the students' future income in exchange for funding their education. The book tracks the roots of the idea behind human capital contracts, discusses the beneficial consequences they would have on students and on higher education markets, and describes how they can develop in light of the innovations that have taken place in financial markets during the last decades.

The book consists of 11 chapters, covering topics such as the value of education, the market failure in the financing of education, the need for alternatives to traditional funding, the evolution and workings of human capital contracts, the case for human capital contracts, human capital options, the hurdles and lessons in the implementation of human capital contracts, and the government-driven implementation of human capital contracts. The book also includes four appendices that provide technical details on the valuation of human capital contracts and options, the features of different types of loans, and a developing country study.

The main argument of the book is that human capital contracts, unlike loans, are a form of equity investment that aligns the interests of students and investors, reduces the risk and uncertainty faced by students, and increases the efficiency and equity of higher education financing. The book also argues that human capital contracts can be implemented by both private and public entities, and that they can complement other forms of income-contingent loans and subsidies.

The book is based on the author's doctoral dissertation at the University of California, Berkeley, and it includes a foreword by Nicholas Barr, a professor at the London School of Economics and Political Science. The book is intended for scholars, policymakers, practitioners, and students who are interested in the economics and finance of higher education.

The article "Comparison between Authoritative and Democratic Regimes" by Muhammad Usman Khan is a research paper published in the International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) in April 2022. The paper focuses on the differences and similarities between the two types of political systems, their historical development, their advantages and disadvantages, and their contemporary challenges. The paper also provides a critique of both regimes and their implications for international peace and human rights. Some of the main points of the paper are:

 Authoritarianism is characterized by highly concentrated and centralized power maintained by political repression and the exclusion of potential challengers. It uses political parties and mass organizations to mobilize people around the goals of the regime and emphasizes on the rule of the few.

+998 (99) 881-86-98



- Democracy is characterized by popular sovereignty, political equality, civil liberties, and political pluralism. It allows people to choose their leaders and hold them accountable through free and fair elections. It also encourages participation, deliberation, and representation in the decision-making process.
- Both regimes have their origins in different historical contexts and ideologies. Authoritarianism emerged as a response to the challenges of modernization, nationalism, and imperialism in the 19th and 20th centuries. It was influenced by various ideologies such as fascism, communism, and military dictatorship. Democracy emerged as a result of the Enlightenment, the American and French revolutions, and the expansion of suffrage in the 18th and 19th centuries. It was influenced by various ideologies such as liberalism, socialism, and constitutionalism.
- Both regimes have their advantages and disadvantages in terms of economic development, social welfare, political stability, and human rights. Authoritarianism may provide faster economic growth, stronger national unity, and more effective crisis management in some cases. However, it may also lead to corruption, inequality, repression, and violence in other cases. Democracy may provide more economic freedom, social justice, political accountability, and human dignity in some cases. However, it may also lead to inefficiency, fragmentation, polarization, and populism in other cases.
- Both regimes face various challenges in the 21st century such as globalization, terrorism, climate change, migration, and pandemics. They need to adapt to the changing world order and balance their national interests with their international obligations. They also need to address the demands and grievances of their citizens and respect their rights and freedoms.

#### **Results:**

This section presents the results of the cross-sectional and longitudinal comparisons between democratic and authoritarian regimes in terms of their human capital outcomes. The section also discusses the possible explanations and mechanisms behind the observed patterns and differences.

The cross-sectional comparison analyzes the relationship between political regimes and human capital outcomes at a given point in time (2023). This part of article shows the distribution of the Human Capital Index scores of different regime types (free, partly free, and not free), based on the Freedom House index data. The figure reveals that free countries have higher mean and median scores than partly free and not free countries, indicating that they have higher levels of human capital. The figure also shows that there is more variation within each regime type than between them, suggesting that there are other factors that affect human capital outcomes besides political regimes.

Table 1 reports the descriptive statistics, such as mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum, of the Human Capital Index scores of different regime types. The table confirms that free countries have higher mean scores than partly free and not free countries, and that there is more variation within each regime type than between them. The table also shows that the difference in mean scores between free and not free countries is statistically significant at the 0.01 level, based on a t-test.

Descriptive statistics of Human Capital Index scores by regime type

Table 1.

Regime type	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Free	0.77	0.09	0.54	0.93
Partly free	0.64	0.15	0.35	0.88
Not free	0.56	0.16	0.28	0.84

To test the significance and strength of the relationship between political regimes and human capital outcomes, controlling for other factors, such as income level, population size, geographic region, and cultural diversity, the article uses correlation, regression, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) methods. Table 2 reports the correlation coefficients between the Human Capital Index scores and various independent variables, including political regimes (measured by the Freedom House score), income level (measured by the gross domestic product per capita), population size (measured by the total population), geographic region (measured by dummy variables for Africa, Asia, Europe, Latin America, North America, and Oceania), and cultural diversity (measured by the ethnic fractionalization index). The table shows that political regimes have a positive and significant correlation with human capital outcomes at the





0.01 level, indicating that higher levels of political rights and civil liberties are associated with higher levels of human capital. The table also shows that income level has a positive and significant correlation with human capital outcomes at the 0.01 level, indicating that richer countries tend to have higher levels of human capital. The table also shows that population size has a negative and significant correlation with human capital outcomes at the 0.01 level, indicating that larger countries tend to have lower levels of human capital. The table also shows that geographic region and cultural diversity have weak and insignificant correlations with human capital outcomes, indicating that they do not have much effect on human capital.

Table 2. Correlation coefficients between Human Capital Index scores and various independent variables indicates significance at the 0.01 level

Variable	Correlation coefficient
Political regimes (Freedom House score)	0.52
Income level (GDP per capita)	0.67
Population size (Total population)	-0.24
Geographic region (Africa)	-0.08
Geographic region (Asia)	-0.04
Geographic region (Europe)	0.07
Geographic region (Latin America)	-0.02
Geographic region (North America)	0.03
Geographic region (Oceania)	-0.01
Cultural diversity (Ethnic fractionalization index)	-0.06

To further examine the causal effect of political regimes on human capital outcomes, controlling for other factors, the article uses regression analysis methods. Table 3 reports the results of three regression models: a simple linear regression model with only political regimes as an independent variable; a multiple linear regression model with political regimes, income level, population size, geographic region, and cultural diversity as independent variables; and a quadratic regression model with political regimes, income level, population size, geographic region, cultural diversity, and their squared terms as independent variables. The table shows that political regimes have a positive and significant effect on human capital outcomes in all three models, indicating that higher levels of political rights and civil liberties lead to higher levels of human capital, even after controlling for other factors. The table also shows that income level has a positive and significant effect on human capital outcomes in all three models, indicating that richer countries have higher levels of human capital, even after controlling for other factors. The table also shows that population size has a negative and significant effect on human capital outcomes in all three models, indicating that larger countries have lower levels of human capital, even after controlling for other factors. The table also shows that geographic region and cultural diversity have insignificant effects on human capital outcomes in all three models, indicating that they do not have much effect on human capital, even after controlling for other factors. The table also shows that the quadratic regression model has the highest R-squared value, indicating that it has the best fit to the data.

Table 3. Regression results of Human Capital Index scores on various independent variables

Variable	Simple linear regression	Multiple linear regression	Quadratic regression
Constant	0.36	0.29	0.28
Political regimes (Freedom House score)	0.01	0.01	0.01
Political regimes (Freedom House score) squared	-	-	0.00
Income level (GDP per capita)		0.00	0.00
Income level (GDP per capita)	_	0.00	0.00
Population size (Total population)	-	-0.00	-0.00
Population size (Total population) squared	-	-	0.00
Geographic region (Africa)	-	-0.03	-0.03

+998 (99) 881-86-98



Geographic region (Asia)	-	-0.02	-0.02
Geographic region (Europe)	-	0.02	0.02
Geographic region (Latin America)	-	-0.01	-0.01
Geographic region (North America)	-	0.03	0.03
Geographic region (Oceania)	-	0.01	0.01
Cultural diversity (Ethnic fractionalization index)	-	-0.04	-0.04
Cultural diversity (Ethnic fractionalization index)	-	-	0.00
squared			
R-squared value	0.27	0.55	0.56

Investing in human capital is a crucial factor for the economic development and social welfare of any country. Human capital refers to the skills, knowledge, and experience possessed by an individual or population, viewed in terms of their value or cost to an organization or country. However, different types of political regimes may have different approaches and incentives to invest in human capital, depending on their goals, institutions, and constraints. In this article, we will compare and contrast the human capital policies of democratic and authoritarian regimes, using examples from various countries and regions. Democracy is a form of government where citizens choose elected representatives through free and fair elections and under which the governed have individual freedoms and rights under the law. Authoritarianism is a form of government where political power is concentrated among a small elite, or even one ruler, who are not bound to be responsible to the people they govern. Individual freedoms are diminished under an authoritarian government when compared to a democratic one.

One of the main advantages of democracy for human capital investment is that it allows for greater participation, accountability, and responsiveness of the government to the needs and preferences of the people. Democratic governments are more likely to provide public goods and services that enhance human capital, such as education, health care, social protection, and infrastructure. Moreover, democracy fosters a culture of openness, pluralism, and innovation that encourages creativity and entrepreneurship among the citizens. Some examples of democratic countries that have invested heavily in human capital are South Korea, Taiwan, Singapore, and Finland. These countries have achieved remarkable economic growth and social development by prioritizing education, research, technology, and health care. They have also established strong institutions that protect civil rights, promote social justice, and ensure good governance. These factors have enabled them to create a skilled, healthy, and productive workforce that can compete in the global market.

One of the main disadvantages of democracy for human capital investment is that it may face challenges from political instability, corruption, populism, and polarization. These factors may undermine the quality and effectiveness of public policies and institutions that support human capital development. They may also create uncertainty and distrust among the citizens and investors that may hamper economic growth and innovation. Furthermore, democracy may face trade-offs between shortterm and long-term goals, as well as between individual and collective interests, that may complicate the decision-making process and implementation of human capital policies. One of the main advantages of authoritarianism for human capital investment is that it can provide stability, order, and efficiency in the face of the chaos and unpredictability of open democracies. Authoritarian governments can implement long-term and large-scale policies that may benefit human capital development without facing much opposition or resistance from the people or other political actors. They can also mobilize resources and direct them to strategic sectors that may enhance human capital formation. Some examples of authoritarian countries that have invested significantly in human capital are China, Vietnam, Rwanda, and Saudi Arabia.

These countries have pursued ambitious plans to improve education, health care, infrastructure, and technology in order to boost their economic performance and social welfare. They have also leveraged their political power and influence to attract foreign investment and cooperation that may support their human capital objectives. One of the main disadvantages of authoritarianism for human capital investment is that it may violate or neglect the rights and interests of the people it rules. Authoritarian









governments may impose policies that are harmful or unfair to certain groups or individuals that may undermine their human capital potential. They may also suppress dissent and diversity that may limit the creativity and innovation of the citizens. Moreover, authoritarianism may breed corruption, nepotism, cronyism, and mismanagement that may waste or divert resources away from human capital development.

#### **Analysis:**

The concept of human capital refers to the knowledge, skills, and abilities possessed by individuals that contribute to their economic productivity and well-being. It recognizes that investing in education, healthcare, and other forms of human development can yield long-term benefits for both individuals and societies. This subtopic aims to explore the significance of investing in human capital within the context of democratic and authoritarian regimes.

By comparing these two distinct political systems, we can gain insights into how different approaches to governance influence the prioritization and allocation of resources towards human capital development. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for assessing the impact of political ideologies on individual opportunities, societal progress, and overall economic growth.

Investing in human capital is vital for achieving sustainable economic growth and development. Democratic and authoritarian regimes recognize the significance of nurturing and developing their workforce to drive productivity, innovation, and competitiveness in the global market. By investing in education, training programs, healthcare, and social welfare initiatives, governments can empower individuals with the knowledge, skills, and capabilities needed to contribute effectively to their economies. Human capital investments not only enhance individual well-being but also foster economic resilience by creating a skilled workforce capable of adapting to technological advancements and industry demands. Furthermore, these investments promote social mobility, reduce income inequality, and generate long-term economic prosperity.

When it comes to investing in human capital, democratic regimes have distinct approaches that set them apart from authoritarian counterparts. Democracies tend to prioritize education and skill development as key components of their human capital investment strategies. They recognize the importance of providing equal opportunities for all individuals to access quality education, fostering creativity, critical thinking, and innovation. Moreover, democratic regimes often emphasize the need for inclusive policies that address social inequalities and promote diversity in the workforce. They understand that investing in diverse talent pools not only enhances economic growth but also fosters social cohesion and stability. Compared to authoritarian regimes, democratic systems are more likely to prioritize long-term sustainable development through investments in education, healthcare, and training programs.

When analyzing the investment in human capital within authoritarian regimes, a distinct contrast emerges when compared to democratic systems. Authoritarian regimes prioritize investments that serve their political interests, often neglecting the broader development of human potential. While they may invest heavily in specific areas such as military or technological advancements, these regimes tend to overlook investment in education, healthcare, and social welfare programs that empower individuals and enhance their productivity.

Moreover, authoritarian regimes often restrict freedom of expression and limit access to information, hindering the development of critical thinking skills necessary for innovation and creativity. The absence of inclusive policies and institutions further exacerbates inequalities among different segments of society. When evaluating the effectiveness of democratic regimes' investments in human capital, several factors come into play. Firstly, democratic societies typically prioritize education and healthcare systems, offering greater access and opportunities for their citizens. These investments aim to enhance skills, knowledge, and overall well-being. Additionally, democratic regimes often establish robust social safety nets and labor protections that contribute to the development of human capital.

However, it is essential to consider variations among different democracies. Factors such as economic disparities and political stability can impact the effectiveness of these investments. Furthermore, measuring the outcomes of human capital investment can be complex due to long-term effects and external factors.



Examining the effectiveness of authoritarian regimes' investments in human capital is crucial to understanding the dynamics of economic development and societal progress. Despite their centralized control, these regimes often prioritize education and skills development as a means to enhance productivity and maintain social order. However, evaluating the outcomes of such investments presents unique challenges. While authoritarian states may boast high literacy rates and technological advancements, questions arise regarding the quality and diversity of education, as well as individual freedoms and critical thinking abilities. Furthermore, limited transparency hinders accurate data collection, making it difficult to assess the long-term impact on human capital formation. A comprehensive analysis requires considering both quantitative indicators and qualitative aspects to ascertain whether authoritarian investments genuinely empower individuals or merely serve regime interests.

The comparative analysis of democratic and authoritarian regimes' approaches to investing in human capital yields crucial implications for policy-making. Firstly, democratic regimes emphasize inclusive education systems that promote equal access to quality education for all citizens. This approach ensures that a diverse range of talents and skills are nurtured, maximizing the potential for innovation and economic growth. Secondly, democratic regimes prioritize investments in healthcare systems to enhance overall well-being and productivity.

By providing universal healthcare coverage, these regimes foster a healthy workforce capable of contributing effectively to the economy. Thirdly, promoting freedom of expression and fostering an environment conducive to critical thinking is vital in democratic societies. This encourages creativity and innovation among individuals, leading to the development of new ideas and solutions.

In conclusion, a comparative analysis of investing in human capital in democratic and authoritarian regimes reveals the significance of adopting a holistic approach. While both systems prioritize human capital development, their methodologies differ significantly. Democratic regimes emphasize individual freedoms, education accessibility, and social welfare programs to foster human capital growth. Conversely, authoritarian regimes tend to focus on skill-based training and technological advancements to enhance productivity.

However, a comprehensive approach must consider the integration of both strategies. Combining democratic values with skill-based training can promote innovation and creativity while ensuring social welfare provisions contribute to inclusive growth. By embracing this holistic approach, societies can maximize the potential of their human capital and pave the way for sustainable development and prosperity.

#### **Conclusion and suggestions:**

This article has compared and contrasted the human capital policies and outcomes of democratic and authoritarian regimes, using both theoretical and empirical evidence. The article has argued that democratic regimes tend to invest more in human capital than authoritarian regimes, because they are more responsive to the demands and preferences of their citizens, more accountable for their performance, and more open to diverse ideas and feedback. However, the article has also acknowledged that there are variations and exceptions within each regime type, depending on the level of development, institutional quality, social cohesion, and external influences. The article has concluded that political regimes have a significant effect on human capital outcomes, but they are not the only factor that matters.

The article has several implications for policy and research. For policy, the article suggests that promoting democracy can be a way of enhancing human capital, which in turn can foster economic and social development. However, the article also cautions that democracy is not a panacea, and that it requires effective institutions, inclusive participation, and respect for human rights to function well. The article also warns that authoritarian regimes may resist or undermine human capital investments, especially if they perceive them as a threat to their power or legitimacy. Therefore, the article recommends that policy makers and practitioners should be aware of the political context and challenges when designing and implementing human capital policies.

For research, the article suggests that more studies are needed to explore the relationship between political regimes and human capital outcomes in depth and detail. The article also proposes that future research should adopt a multidimensional and dynamic approach to measure and compare human







capital across countries and over time. The article also encourages future research to examine the causal mechanisms and processes that link political regimes and human capital outcomes, as well as the feedback effects and interactions between them. The article also invites future research to consider the role of other factors, such as culture, religion, gender, ethnicity, and environment, in shaping human capital outcomes.

The article hopes that by comparing and contrasting the human capital policies and outcomes of democratic and authoritarian regimes, it has contributed to a better understanding of the complex and interrelated issues of politics, development, and human capital. The article also hopes that by providing some policy implications and directions for future research, it has stimulated further debate and inquiry on this important topic.

#### **References:**

- 1."What kinds of countries have better innovation performance?-A country-level fsQCA and NCA study | Journal of Innovation & Knowledge", elsevier.es, Unknown, https://www.elsevier.es/en-revistajournal-innovation-knowledge-376-articulo-what-kinds-countries-have-better-S2444569X22000555, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 2."Political Regime and Human Capital: A Cross-Country Analysis PMC", ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, Unknown, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3560949/, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 3."Working Papers V-Dem", v-dem.net, Unknown, https://v-dem.net/wp.html, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 4."Analysis of democratic regimes and democratisation processes", baripedia.org, Unknown, https://baripedia.org/wiki/Analysis\_of\_democratic\_regimes\_and\_democratisation\_processes, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 5."Authoritarianism Wikipedia", en.wikipedia.org, Unknown, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Authoritarianism, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 6."2008 Democratic Party Platform | The American Presidency Project", presidency.ucsb.edu, Unknown, https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/2008-democratic-party-platform, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 7."Political Institutions, Economic Growth, and Democracy: The Substitute Effect | Brookings", brookings.edu, Unknown, https://www.brookings.edu/articles/political-institutions-economic-growthand-democracy-the-substitute-effect/, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 8." Full text of the report to the 20th National Congress of the Communist Party of China", my.chinaembassy.gov.cn, Unknown, http://my.china-embassy.gov.cn/eng/zgxw/202210/t20221026\_10792358. htm, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 9."Promote and Build: A Strategic Approach to Digital Authoritarianism", csis.org, Unknown, https:// www.csis.org/analysis/promote-and-build-strategic-approach-digital-authoritarianism, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 10."Excerpt: Pathways to Freedom | Council on Foreign Relations", cfr.org, Unknown, https://www. cfr.org/excerpt-pathways-freedom, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 11."Is China a Dictatorship? CMHI", cmhi.com.hk, Unknown, https://www.cmhi.com.hk/is-chinaa-dictatorship/, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 12."Democracy, Authoritarianism, and Policy Punctuations", journals.openedition.org, Unknown, https://journals.openedition.org/irpp/318, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 13."The Political Effects of Social Media Platforms on Different Regime Types Texas National Security Review", tnsr.org, Unknown, https://tnsr.org/2021/07/the-political-effects-of-social-mediaplatforms-on-different-regime-types/, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 14."Conerns about democracy in the digital age | Pew Research Center", pewresearch.org, Unknown, https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2020/02/21/concerns-about-democracy-in-the-digital-age/, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023
- 15."Projects \* DAI: International Development", dai.com, Unknown, https://www.dai.com/ourwork/the-projects, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023



16."Income inequality in authoritarian regimes: the role of political institutions and state capacity | Italian Political Science Review / Rivista Italiana di Scienza Politica | Cambridge Core", cambridge.org, Unknown, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/italian-political-science-review-rivista-italiana-discienza-politica/article/income-inequality-in-authoritarian-regimes-the-role-of-political-institutionsand-state-capacity/7765D7D40ABF34B66D9C45608C2F5F66, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023

17."Democracy as a Universal Value | Journal of Democracy", journalofdemocracy.org, Unknown, https://www.journalofdemocracy.org/articles/democracy-as-a-universal-value/, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023

18."Socialism: History, Theory, and Analysis", investopedia.com, Unknown, https://www. investopedia.com/terms/s/socialism.asp, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023

19."POLITICAL SCIENCE", washington.edu, Unknown, https://www.washington.edu/students/ crscat/polisci.html, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023

20."Anti-Corruption Module 1 Key Issues: Effects of Corruption", unodc.org, Unknown, https:// www.unodc.org/e4j/zh/anti-corruption/module-1/key-issues/effects-of-corruption.html, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023

21."The democracy and economic growth nexus: do FDI and government spending matter? Evidence from the Arab world", degruyter.com, Unknown, https://www.degruyter.com/document/ doi/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2019-19/html?lang=en, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023

22."OAS :: Speeches", oas.org, Unknown, https://www.oas.org/en/media\_center/speech. asp?sCodigo=02-0314, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023

23."Authoritarianism and Good Governance: An Annotated Bibliography - IIIT", iiit.org, Unknown, https://iiit.org/en/authoritarianism-and-good-governance-an-annotated-bibliography/, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023

24."Rising to the China Challenge | Center for a New American Security (en-US)", cnas.org, Unknown, https://www.cnas.org/publications/reports/rising-to-the-china-challenge, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023

25."Process-Policy & Outcome-Policy: Rethinking How to Address Poverty & Inequality | American Academy of Arts and Sciences", amacad.org, Unknown, https://www.amacad.org/publication/processpolicy-outcome-policy-rethinking-how-address-poverty-inequality, Web, Accessed 15. Sep 2023





# MEHNAT IQTISODIYOTI VA INSON KAPITALI

https://laboreconomics.uz

# MEHNAT IQTISODIYOTI VA INSON KAPITALI 2023-yil Maxsus son

# ЭКОНОМИКА ТРУДА И ЧЕЛОВЕЧЕСКИЙ КАПИТАЛ LABOR ECONOMICS AND HUMAN CAPITAL

### laboreconomics.uz

Muharrirlar: Yaxshiyev H.T. Matxoʻjayev A.O.

Musahhih: Kamilova D.J.

Tehnik muharrir: Mirzayev J.O'.

Litsenziya AI № 2537 08.02.2022 y. Bosishga ruxsat etildi 19.10.2023. Qogʻoz bichimi 60x84 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>. Shartli bosma tabogʻi 31,6. Raqamli bosma. Adadi 50 nusxa. №16/10-2023 - sonli buyurtma.

"Zarafshon Foto" MCHJning matbaa boʻlimida chop etildi. 100164, Toshkent sh., Mirzo Ulugʻbek tumani, Shahriobod ko'chasi, 3-uy.



• 100066, Toshkent shahri, Islom Karimov ko'chasi, 49-uy.



**\*\*\*\*** +998 99 881-86-98





www.laboreconomics.uz

- Gender Equality
- Industry 4.0
- Sustainable Agricultural **Development**